

GAME THEORY

Administrative stuff

- 1) Exercises
- 2) Either "Oral exam" or "final project"

Summary

- 1) Game theory is about strategic decision-making
(Riker ✓, Ravelle ✗)
- 2) Elements: Players, Actions, rule of moves, Payoffs, information
- 3) Actions: What each player can do
Strategies: Plan which action to pick given the information the player currently has

§ 2 Static games with complete information (SGCI)

Definition 2.1 (SGCI, "one-shot games", "normal-form games")

SIGI are those games for which

(1) players have all payoff-relevant information

(2) Players move simultaneously.

(or in ignorance of what other players chose.)

Elements of SIGI:

1) Players $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \dots, n\}$

2) Action of player i is an element of

$$A^{(i)} = \{\omega_1^{(i)}, \dots, \omega_{j_i}^{(i)}\}$$

$$A = A^{(1)} \times \dots \times A^{(n)}$$

$$\omega \in A \quad \omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$$

3) Payoffs $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

Remark 2.2 (Two-player games with finitely many actions)

$$\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2\}$$

$$A^{(1)} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}$$

$$A^{(2)} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$$

$$\pi: A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$

↪ Usually written as a payoff matrix

	β_1	β_2	\dots	β_n
d_1	$\pi_{11}^{(1)}, \pi_{11}^{(2)}$			
d_2				
\vdots				
d_m	\square			
				$\bar{\pi}_{mk}^{(1)}, \bar{\pi}_{mk}^{(2)}$
	$\pi_{m1}^{(1)}, \pi_{m1}^{(2)}$			

Similar way how to represent 3-player games
 as Exercises

Example 2.3 (Prisoner's Dilemma)

Two players, they can either confess or remain silent

Payoffs \cong served prison time

		Silence	Confess	
		3, 3	0, 4	S
Silence		0, 4	1, 1	C
Silence	3, 3	0, 4	1, 1	S
	0, 4	1, 1	1, 1	C

This game has the following properties

$$1) A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$$

$$2) \pi^{(1)}(\alpha, \alpha') = \pi^{(2)}(\alpha', \alpha)$$

2-player games with this property
 are called "symmetric"

n-player games? as Exercises

Definition 2.4 (Strategies SGCI)

1) Let $T = (X, A, \pi)$ be a SGCI.

$$\text{let } A^{(i)} = \{\omega_1^{(i)}, \dots, \omega_m^{(i)}\}$$

The a strategy for player i is a probability distribution over the set of actions

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{(i)} = (\tilde{\sigma}_1^{(i)}, \tilde{\sigma}_2^{(i)}, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_m^{(i)}) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_j \geq 0 \quad \sum_j \tilde{\sigma}_j = 1$$

Set of all strategies $\Sigma^{(i)}$

Set of "strategy profiles": $\Sigma = \Sigma^{(1)} \times \Sigma^{(2)} \times \dots \times \Sigma^{(n)}$

2) A strategy is called pure

if there is a j such that $\tilde{\sigma}_j^{(i)} = 1$, $\tilde{\sigma}_{k \neq j}^{(i)} = 0$ for all $k \neq j$.

If I would like to highlight that a strategy is pure

then I write s $[s = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)]$

Set of all pure strategies of player i is $S^{(i)}$

Example 2.5 (Prisoner's dilemma)

Strategy for player 1: $(1/2, 1/2)$

Pure Strategies $(1, 0)$

Probability to remain silent

Probability to confess

(0, 1)

Remark 2.6 (Payoffs for mixed strategies)

(*) If all players use pure strategies

the resulting payoff is $\Pi(\omega^{(1)}, \omega^{(2)}, \dots, \omega^{(n)})$

(*) I want $\Pi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

(*) Idea: Each player randomizes independently

Strategies are $\tilde{\sigma}^{(i)} = (\tilde{\sigma}_j^{(i)})$ $\theta := (\theta^{(1)}, \theta^{(2)}, \dots, \theta^{(n)}) \in \Delta^n$

$$\Pi(\theta) := \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \theta_{j_1}^{(1)} \cdot \theta_{j_2}^{(2)} \cdot \dots \cdot \theta_{j_n}^{(n)} \quad \Pi\left(\tilde{\sigma}_{j_1}^{(1)}, \tilde{\sigma}_{j_2}^{(2)}, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_{j_n}^{(n)}\right)$$

Example 2.7 (Prisoner's Dilemma)

$$A^{(i)} = \{ \text{Silent}, \text{Confess} \}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)} = (0.6, 0.4)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{(2)} = (0.3, 0.7)$$

	S	C
S	3	0
C	4	1

Possible outcomes	Probability	Payoff
(Silent, Silent)	0.6 · 0.3	3
(Silent, Confess)	0.6 · 0.7	0
(Confess, Silent)	0.4 · 0.3	4
(Confess, Confess)	0.4 · 0.7	1

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{(1)}(\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}, \tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}) &= 0.6 \cdot 0.3 \cdot 3 \\ &\quad + 0.6 \cdot 0.7 \cdot 0 \\ &\quad + 0.4 \cdot 0.3 \cdot 4 \\ &\quad + 0.4 \cdot 0.7 \cdot 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \quad 0.7 \\ S \quad C \\ \hline 0.6 \quad | \quad \begin{array}{cc} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.7 \cdot 0.6 \end{array} \\ 0.4 \quad C \quad | \quad \begin{array}{cc} 4 & 1 \end{array} \\ \hline & \underbrace{\quad \quad \quad}_{P} \end{array}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)} \cdot P \tilde{\sigma}^{(2)T}$$

Notation 2.8

If I want to speak of player i's strategy specifically

$$I sometimes write (\tilde{\sigma}^{(i)}, \tilde{\sigma}^{(-i)}) = (\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}, \tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}^{(n)})$$

$$\text{Similarly } \Pi^{(i)}(\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}) = \Pi^{(i)}(\tilde{\sigma}^{(i)}, \tilde{\sigma}^{(-i)})$$

Remark 2.9 (solution concepts)

So far: Introduce machinery to describe a game.

Question: What does it mean to solve a game?

[What would rational players do?]

Solution concepts

- (1) Elimination of dominated strategies
- (2) Nash equilibria

§ 2.1 Iterated elimination of dominated strategies

Example 2.10 (Prisoner's Dilemma)



For rational players, (Confess, Confess)

Seems to be the only logical outcome.

Definition 2.11 (Dominated strategies)

- (1) A pure strategy $s^{(i)}$ is strictly dominated

Suppose $\pi(s^{(i)}, s^{-i}) < \pi(\tilde{s}^{(i)}, s^{-i})$

↓ ↓
In more money

where other
this live

in more same
restriction

if there is some $\tilde{s}^{(i)} \in \sum^i$ such that
for all $s^{(-i)} \in S^{(-i)}$:

$$\pi^{(i)}(\tilde{s}^{(i)}, s^{(-i)}) < \pi(\tilde{s}^{(i)}, s^{(-i)})$$

(2) A pure strategy $s^{(i)}$ is weakly dominated
if

$$\pi^{(i)}(s^{(i)}, s^{(-i)}) \leq \pi^{(i)}(\tilde{s}^{(i)}, s^{(-i)}) \quad \forall s^{(-i)}$$

$$\exists \tilde{s}^{(-i)} : \pi^{(i)}(s^{(i)}, \tilde{s}^{(-i)}) < \pi^{(i)}(\tilde{s}^{(i)}, \tilde{s}^{(-i)})$$

$$\tilde{s}^{(i)} := s^{(i)}$$

Remark 2.12 (on dominate strategies)

- 1) In prisoner's dilemma, "Silent" is strictly dominated,
- 2) Why do we explicitly allow for domination by mixed strategies.

These are games where no strategy is
dominated if you only allow domination

by pure strategies, but still domination by mixed strategies is possible.

	L	R
T	3,0	0,0
D	0,0	3,0

Strategy D is dominated

Exercises

- 3) There is no added advantage of replacing $s^{(-i)}$ by $\sigma^{(-i)}$

If statement is true for all $s^{(-i)}$

it is also true for all $\sigma^{(-i)}$.

Example 2.13 (A prisoner's dilemma with remorse)

	Sil	Confess
Sil	3,3	0,0
Confess	4,0	1,1